Governing Board

Andy Li Judy E. Walters, Ph.D. Fernando Sandoval John E. Márquez Rebecca Barrett President Vice President Secretary



Chancellor Bryan Reece, Ph.D.

College Presidents

Damon A. Bell, Ed.D. (Interim) Contra Costa College Susan E. Lamb Diablo Valley College Robert Kratochvil, Ed.D. Los Medanos College

Contra Costa Community College District D-1191 DVC Campus Wayfinding Project RFP

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Your attention is called to the fact that the following have changed:

1. Section 1.1—The due date is incorrectly stated. Proposals are due prior to 2pm on **July 8, 2021**.

Questions and Answers:

- 1. On line item 3.4: Include an estimate of the full construction cost of the project (ie: cost of fabrication and installation), are we to assume this is an activity/deliverable once awarded the project or is the District requesting this as a part of our proposal response?
 - A. This will be a deliverable once awarded the project. It is not part of the proposal response.
- 2. On line item 3.2: The existing wayfinding package will need to be updated, are we to assume we will have full access to all editable illustrator files from authors of the sign standards and sign package or will these documents need to be recreated for new DSA submittal and bid documents?
 - A. Weidner will share their drawing files with anyone who requests them, as long as a Release of Liability is signed.
- 3. RE: The existing Sign Standards, are we to assume all design, graphics and aesthetics within the RFP documents have been fully vetted and approved by DVC, District and the project team?
 - A. All sign standards have been approved by DVC, the district and the project team. The chosen architect will need to field verify all the sign locations since several of them have been added as part of separate projects.
- 4. RE: The existing Wayfinding Package content, are we to assume all messaging and directional information within the RFP documents have been fully vetted and approved by DVC, District and the project team?
 - A. The chosen architect will need to field verify all the sign locations since several of them have been added as part of separate projects.
- 5. On line item 2.1 A.: Scope will also include adding new signs in new locations, is there any definition that can be provided to better understand the breadth of this

statement? (ie: Approx quantity of signs this may cover? Identification of specific signtypes to be focused on?)

- A. This note means that not all signs are replacements of old existing signs and that several will be new signs in new locations. The locations and types of the new signs are already identified in exhibit B and C of the RFP.
- 6. RE: MAP 1 Campus Maps, have all map layouts been completed? Is bidder responsible for final artwork for all map layouts?
 - A. Map layouts have not been finalized due to there being new construction since the wayfinding package was originally created. Bidder is responsible for the creation of the new maps.
- 7. RE: Schedule / Timing, we did not see any indication of desired implementation date. Is there a rough target for implementation or does responder drive schedule based on scope? (We did see you are asking for an estimated duration to complete design documents and we will include durations).
 - A. There is not a set target date for implementation. Bidder is responsible to provide a schedule based on scope.
- 8. Can companies from Outside USA (like India or Canada) apply for this, whether we need to come over there for meetings? Can we perform the tasks (related to RFP) outside USA?
 - A. We will not exclude anyone from submitting a Statement of Qualifications; however, please read the RFQ documents closely as there are requirements that require personnel to be on campus.
- Can we submit the proposals via email?
 - A. No. Please refer to the RFQ document on submitting your SOQ.

All other facts and specifications to this bid remain unchanged. **Be sure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum in your COVER LETTER.**

Ben Cayabyab Senior Buyer