

## ADDENDUM # 2



### CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Project: ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY BUILDING RENOVATION PROJECT DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES RFQ  
Campus/Location: Diablo Valley College, Pleasant Hill, CA

Date: 6/30/2023

You are hereby notified of the following changes, clarifications and/or modifications to the original Request for Qualifications (RFQ), and/or previous Addenda. This Addendum forms a part of the Request for Qualifications package and modifies the original RFQ documents dated 6/5/2023. This Addendum shall supersede the original RFQ and previous Addenda wherein it contradicts the same and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All other conditions remain unchanged.

Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in your SOQ cover letter. Failure to acknowledge may subject proposers to disqualification.

---

#### A. Deletions, Additions, Changes, Revisions

1. Request for Qualifications Section I. RFQ SCHEDULE SUMMARY, delete current RFQ summary schedule and replace with the following new RFQ SCHEDULE SUMMARY

DEADLINE	ACTION ITEM
<b>June 5, 2023</b>	Release of RFQ.
<b>July 11, 2023, at 2:00 P.M.</b>	Last day to receive written questions from respondents.
<b>July 18, 2023, at 2:00 P.M.</b>	Last day for District to issue addenda or answer questions.
<b>July 25, 2023, at 2:00 P.M</b>	Deadline for submissions in response to RFQ.
<b><u>Anticipated</u> Mid-August, 2023</b>	Release of RFP to prequalified or shortlisted respondents.

## ADDENDUM # 2

### B. Questions and Answers

1. Question:

We understand that Project Criteria will be completed July 2023. Please confirm that the Project Criteria will be provided at the RFP stage.?

Response:  
Confirmed.

2. Question:

Who is providing the services for the Project Criteria, and are they and their consultant team precluded from competing on this phase of the project? If yes, please name the team members who are precluded?

Response:  
SmithGroup is providing services for the Project Criteria Documents. See Addendum # 1 for who is precluded from competing on the Design-Build team.

3. Question:

Some of our potentially proposed team members are DVC adjunct faculty; please confirm that this would not pose a conflict of interest?

Response:  
It will be a conflict of interest to include DVC adjunct faculty as part of the Design-Build Team. This is due to the fact that DVC staff, faculty, and administration are involved with the development of the Project Criteria Documents and that some of these individuals will also be participating in the selection of the Design-Build Entity.

4. Question:

Appendix B, page 18, states 1. How many design-build projects have the general contractor and architect of record involved in this DBE completed together? Can we include collaborations of other delivery methods? And if this is acceptable, will alternate delivery methods cause our score/ranking to be lower?

Response:  
Section VI., Question 1, is requesting how many design-build projects the general contractor and architect of record involved in this DBE have completed together. Other delivery methods cannot be substituted for this question. As indicated in the RFQ, a stronger preference will be given to DBE's who have successfully worked together on a minimum of one (1) or more design build projects.

## ADDENDUM # 2

5. Question:

Can we list design-build experience done by a lead team member while they were with a previous firm on the EXHIBITS A-1, A-1.1, A-2, and A-2.1 project reference forms and data tables?

Response:

See response in Addendum # 1.

6. Question:

Are there any bonus points for SMWBE?

Response:

There are no bonus points in the RFQ for SMWBE.

7. Question:

For the requested 2022 reviewed financials and 2021 audited financials; it would take approximately two months to procure audited financials which would not align with this projects RFQ submission. Please advise if submitting an audited financial statement from 2019 and reviewed financial statements from 2021 and 2022 would be acceptable.

Response:

See response in Addendum # 1. A reviewed financial statement is acceptable for fiscal year 2022, but an audited financial statement is required for fiscal year 2021.

8. Question:

Exhibit B: Please clarify the following: Column related to CA K12 or CA CCD Experience - is this a yes or no question or is this requesting total number of completed CA K12 or CA CCD projects?

Response:

This column is intended to be a Yes or No question in order to understand whether or not the Key Personnel have experience working on California K-12 or California CCD projects. In each of the one (1) page resumes, the District would like to see more information regarding each Key Personnel's California K-12 or California CCD project experience. The intent of Exhibit B is to provide a tabular summary of the information provided in the resumes.

## ADDENDUM # 2

9. Question:

Exhibit B: Please clarify the following: Column related to Higher Ed Facility Experience with +/- 40,000 GSF (List GSF) - is this requesting the largest single GSF Higher Ed GSF project, or combined GSF of all Higher Ed projects for this individual?

Response:

This column should list the square footage of a single project for each of the Key Personnel that is most comparable in size to the Engineering Technology Renovation Project. This is in order to understand whether or not the Key Personnel have experience completing a higher educational facility of comparable size to the Engineering Technology Renovation Project. The District would like to see more information regarding each project in the one (1) page resumes. The intent of Exhibit B is to provide a tabular summary of the information provided in the resumes.

10. Question:

Exhibit B: Please clarify the following: Column related to Project Experience with a Value over \$30M (List Value) - is this requesting the largest single project Value over \$30M, or combined Value of projects over \$30M for this individual?

Response:

This column should list the value of a single project for each of the Key Personnel that is most comparable in value to the Engineering Technology Renovation Project. This is in order to understand whether or not the Key Personnel have experience completing a project of similar value to the Engineering Technology Renovation Project. Please note that the District will accept projects at a \$25M threshold as indicated in Addendum # 1. The District would like to see more information regarding each project in the one (1) page resumes. The intent of Exhibit B is to provide a tabular summary of the information provided in the resumes.

11. Question:

In Exhibit B - Relevant Experience of Key Personnel, there is a column requesting "Higher Educational Facility Experience with +/- 40,000 GSF (List GSF)". Could you please clarify whether you would like us to provide the total square footage of higher educational facilities completed by the individual or simply indicate whether they have experience in completing a higher educational facility over 40,000 GSF (with a "yes" or "no" response)?

Response:

See response to question # 9.

## ADDENDUM # 2

12. Question:

In Exhibit B- Relevant Experience of Key Personnel, there is a column requesting "Project Experience with a Value Over \$30M (List Value)". Could you please clarify whether you would like us to provide the dollar value of projects completed by the individual or simply indicate whether they have experience with projects valued over \$30M (with a "yes" or "no" response)?

Response:

See response to question # 10.

**B. If you have any questions regarding this Addendum, please contact:**

Ben M. Cayabyab, Contracts Manager  
Contra Costa Community College District  
500 Court St., Martinez, CA 94553  
Email: [bcayabyab@4cd.edu](mailto:bcayabyab@4cd.edu)

All other terms and conditions of RFQ/P are to remain the same.

**END OF ADDENDUM # 2**